arXiv:1511.00936v1 [math.RA] 3 Nov 2015

CHARACTERISTICS OF ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS

LI GUO AND HOUYI YU

Abstract. The characteristic is a simple yet important invariant of an algebra. In this paper, we study the characteristic of a Rota-Baxter algebra, called the Rota-Baxter characteristic. We introduce an invariant, called the ascent set, of a Rota-Baxter characteristic. By studying its properties, we classify Rota-Baxter characteristics in the homogenous case and relate Rota-Baxter characteristics in general to the homogeneous case through initial ideals. We show that the Rota-Baxter quotients of Rota-Baxter characteristics have the same underlying sets as those in the homogeneous case. We also give a more detailed study of Rota-Baxter characteristics with special base rings. In particular, we determine the prime characteristics of Rota-Baxter rings.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Characteristics of Rota-Baxter algebras 2
3. Classification of the characteristics of Rota-Baxter algebras 4
3.1. The ascent set of a Rota-Baxter characteristic 4
3.2. Classification of homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics 6
3.3. Rota-Baxter characteristics and their quotients 9
4. Characteristics of Rota-Baxter rings 11
References 15

1. Introduction

A Rota-Baxter algebra is an associative algebra together with a linear endomorphism that is an algebraic analogue of the integral operator. This concept has it origin from a work of G. Baxter [5] in probability theory. In the late 1960s, Rota [16] studied the subject from an algebraic and combinatorial perspective and suggested that they are closely related to hypergeometric functions, incidence algebras and symmetric functions [17, 18]. Since then, these algebras have been investigated by mathematicians and mathematical physicists with various motivations. For example, a Rota-Baxter operator on a Lie algebra is closely related to the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. Here the Baxter is the physicist R. Baxter [3, 19]. In recent years Rota-Baxter operators have found applications to many areas, such as number theory [15], combinatorics [10, 16, 17], operads [1, 4] and quantum field theory [6]. See [11, 13] and the references therein for further details.

For the theoretic study of this important algebraic structure, it is useful to generalize the study of characteristics of algebras to Rota-Baxter algebras. The characteristic of a (unitary) ring R is (the nonnegative generator of) the kernel of the structure map $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ sending 1 to the identity

Date: November 4, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C05, 13E05, 16W99.
Key words and phrases. Rota-Baxter algebra, Rota-Baxter characteristic, initial object, initial ideal, prime ideal.

element of R. More generally, the characteristic of an algebra R over a commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$ is the kernel of the structure map $\mathbf{k} \to R$. To generalize this concept to the context of Rota-Baxter algebras, we note that the structure map $\mathbf{k} \to R$ comes from the fact that $\mathbf{k}$ is the initial object in the category of $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, as the free $\mathbf{k}$-algebra on the empty set. Then to study the characteristics of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, we consider the initial object in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras, as the free Rota-Baxter algebra on the empty set. Thus every Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra comes with a (unique) structure map from the initial object to this Rota-Baxter algebra. Then the kernel of the structure map should be the characteristic of the Rota-Baxter algebra.

In this paper, we study the characteristics of Rota-Baxter algebras. More precisely, we study the Rota-Baxter ideals, and their corresponding quotients, of the initial Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra. In particular, we study the Rota-Baxter ideals of the initial Rota-Baxter ring. The initial Rota-Baxter algebra is a generalization of the divided power algebra, and the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{k}[x]$ when $\mathbf{k}$ is taken to contain $\mathbb{Q}$. So some our results are naturally related to results of these algebras.

The construction of the initial object will be reviewed at the beginning of Section 2 and will be applied to give the concept of Rota-Baxter characteristics. In Section 3, we first classify homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics and their quotients (Theorem 3.6). We then show that the Rota-Baxter quotients of general Rota-Baxter characteristics have the same underlying sets as those in the homogeneous case (Theorem 3.9). Finally specializing to the case of $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ in Section 4, we give the structures of Rota-Baxter characteristics of Rota-Baxter rings, and determine the prime Rota-Baxter characteristics (Theorem 4.3).

2. Characteristics of Rota-Baxter algebras

Notations. Unless otherwise specified, an algebra in this paper is assumed to be unitary associative defined over a unitary commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of nonnegative and positive integers respectively. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $[n] := \{1, \cdots, n\}$. For notational convenience, we also denote $[\infty] = \mathbb{P}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ denote the set of integers modulo n.

Before giving the definition of the characteristic of a Rota-Baxter algebra, we first provide some background and preliminary results on Rota-Baxter algebras. See [9, 11, 14,] for details.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be given. A **Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra** of weight λ is a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra R paired with a linear operator P on R that satisfies the identity

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy) \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. When $\mathbf{k}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, then the pair (R, P) is called a **Rota-Baxter ring**.

A **Rota-Baxter ideal** of a Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) is an ideal I of R such that $P(I) \subseteq I$. Then we denote $I \leqslant R$. The concepts of Rota-Baxter subalgebras, quotient Rota-Baxter algebras and homomorphisms of Rota-Baxter algebras can be similarly defined.

We recall that the **free Rota-Baxter algebra on a set** X is a Rota-Baxter algebra $(F_{RB}(X), P_X)$ together with a set map $i_X : X \to F_{RB}(X)$ characterized by the universal property that, for any Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) and set map $f : X \to R$, there is a unique Rota-Baxter algebra homomorphism $\tilde{f} : F_{RB}(X) \to R$ such that $i_X \circ \tilde{f} = f$. The free Rota-Baxter algebra on the empty set is also the free Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra $F_{RB}(\mathbf{k})$ on the $\mathbf{k}$-algebra $\mathbf{k}$ [14,], characterized by the universal property that, for any Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) and $\mathbf{k}$-algebra homomorphism $f : \mathbf{k} \to R$, there is a unique Rota-Baxter algebra homomorphism $\tilde{f} : F_{RB}(\mathbf{k}) \to R$ such that $i_{\mathbf{k}} \circ \tilde{f} = f$, where $i_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the structure map $i_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \to F_{RB}(\mathbf{k})$. Since there is only one $\mathbf{k}$-algebra homomorphism $\mathbf{k} \to R$, this universal property shows that $F_{RB}(\mathbf{k})$ is the initial object in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras.

We refer the reader to [14], as well as [7, 16], for the general constructions of free Rota-Baxter algebras, but will focus on a simple construction of $F_{RB}(\mathbf{k})$ following [2], where it is denoted by $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. This free Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra not only provides the simplest example of free Rota-Baxter algebras but also establishes the connection between Rota-Baxter algebra and some well-known concepts such as divided powers and Stirling numbers [2, 12,]. As a $\mathbf{k}$-module, $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is given by the free $\mathbf{k}$-module

$$Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_m$$

on the basis $\{\mathfrak{a}_m \,|\, m \geqslant 0\}$. For a given $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the product $\diamond = \diamond_\lambda$ on $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}_m \diamond_\lambda \mathfrak{a}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(m,n)} \binom{m+n-i}{m}\binom{m}{i}\lambda^i \mathfrak{a}_{m+n-i}, \qquad m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2}$$

Thus when $\lambda = 0$, $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the divided power algebra. Note that $\diamond$ is an extension of the product on $\mathbf{k}$ viewed as $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_0$. Thus there should no confusion if the notation $\diamond$ is suppressed, as we often do. Define the $\mathbf{k}$-linear operator $P = P_{\mathbf{k}}$ on $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ by assigning $P_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{a}_m) = \mathfrak{a}_{m+1}$, $m \geqslant 0$ and extending by additivity.

By [14,], when $\mathbf{k}$ contains $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda = 0$, we have $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) \cong \mathbf{k}[x]$ as a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra.

Theorem 2.1. *The pair $(Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}), P_{\mathbf{k}})$ is the initial object in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras. More precisely, for any Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra (R, P), there is a unique Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi : (Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}), P_{\mathbf{k}}) \to (R, P)$.*

Proof. Suppose a $\mathbf{k}$-algebra A has a linear basis X. By [9, 11], the free Rota-Baxter algebra $F_{RB}(A)$ on A (denoted by $Ш^{\mathrm{NC}}_{\mathbf{k}}(A)$) has a linear basis consisting of Rota-Baxter words in the alphabet set X. By definition, a Rota-Baxter word in X is a bracketed word in the alphabet set X in which there are no adjacent pairs of brackets. Taking $A = \mathbf{k}$, then $X = \{1\}$. Thus a Rota-Baxter word in X can only be of the form

$$u_r := \underbrace{\lfloor \cdots \lfloor}_{r \text{ iterations}}\, 1 \,\underbrace{\rfloor \cdots \rfloor}_{r \text{ iterations}}$$

(namely applying the bracket operator $\lfloor\ \rfloor$ to 1 r times), for $r \geqslant 1$, together with $u_0 := 1$. Thus

$$F_{RB}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{r \geqslant 0} \mathbf{k}u_r,$$

on which the Rota-Baxter operator $Q_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by $Q_{\mathbf{k}}(u_r) = \lfloor u_r \rfloor = u_{r+1}$. By the universal property of $F_{RB}(\mathbf{k})$, the natural inclusion map $f : \mathbf{k} \to Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ sending $1 \to \mathfrak{a}_0$ (that is, the structure map) extends to a Rota-Baxter algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{f} : F_{RB}(\mathbf{k}) \to Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}).$$

As such, we obtain $\tilde{f}(u_0) = \mathfrak{a}_0$ and recursively,

$$\tilde{f}(u_{r+1}) = \tilde{f}(Q_{\mathbf{k}}(u_r)) = P_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{f}(u_r)) = P_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{a}_r) = \mathfrak{a}_{r+1}, \quad r \geqslant 0.$$

Therefore $\tilde{f}$ is a linear isomorphism and thus a Rota-Baxter algebra isomorphism, showing that $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the free Rota-Baxter algebra on $\mathbf{k}$ and hence the initial object in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras. □

Thus $Ш_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ plays the same role in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras as the role played by $\mathbf{k}$ in the category of $\mathbf{k}$-algebras.

Definition 2.2. Let (R, P) be a Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra and let $\varphi = \varphi_{(R,P)} : (\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}), P_{\mathbf{k}}) \to (R, P)$ be the unique Rota-Baxter algebra homomorphism from the initial object $(\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}), P_{\mathbf{k}})$ in the category of Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebras to (R, P). The kernel of the structure map φ is called the **Rota-Baxter characteristic** of (R, P).

In view of Theorem 2.1, the characteristic of a Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra R must be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. Conversely, any Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the characteristic of some Rota-Baxter algebra, for example of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$.

Remark 2.3. Based on the above observation, we will use the terminology Rota-Baxter characteristics in exchange with Rota-Baxter ideals of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ in the rest of the paper.

3. Classification of the characteristics of Rota-Baxter algebras

In this section, we study Rota-Baxter characteristics and their quotients. First in Section 3.1, we introduce an invariant, called the ascent set, of a Rota-Baxter characteristic. We then apply the invariants to classify all homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics in Section 3.2. Finally in Section 3.3 we relate a Rota-Baxter characteristic to a homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristic by taking the initial terms and show that the quotients of the two Rota-Baxter characteristics share the same underlying sets, but not the same $\mathbf{k}$-modules. This approach is motivated from the study in the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ when $\mathbf{k}$ is a field. There the quotient modulo an ideal and the quotient modulo the corresponding initial ideal are known to share the same basis [8, § 5.3, Proposition 4].

3.1. The ascent set of a Rota-Baxter characteristic.

As recalled in the last section, the Rota-Baxter algebra $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, as the initial object in the category of Rota-Baxter algebras, is the direct sum

$$\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_m$$

on the basis $\{\mathfrak{a}_m \,|\, m \geqslant 0\}$ and hence is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $\mathbf{k}$–module. Any nonzero element f of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ can be uniquely written as $f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i \mathfrak{a}_i$ with $c_n \neq 0$. Then n is called the **degree** of f and $c_n \mathfrak{a}_n$ is called the **initial term** of f, denoted by $\deg f$ and $\text{in}(f)$ respectively. In addition, we define $\deg 0 = -\infty$. We call the set $\text{supp}(f) := \{c_i \mathfrak{a}_i | c_i \neq 0\}$ the **support** of f.

Let I be a Rota-Baxter characteristic, namely an ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. We introduce an invariant of I. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\Omega_j := \Omega_j(I) := \left\{ b_j \in \mathbf{k} \;\middle|\; (\exists f \in I)\ f = \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_i \mathfrak{a}_i \right\}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\Omega_j = \{0\} \cup \left\{ b_j \in \mathbf{k} \;\middle|\; (\exists f \in I)\ \text{in}(f) = b_j \mathfrak{a}_j \right\}.$$

The smallest index j such that $\Omega_j \neq 0$ is called the **starting point** of I, denoted by $\text{st}(I)$, that is,

$$\text{st}(I) = \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \Omega_j \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, Ω_j is an ideal of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\Omega_j \subseteq \Omega_{j+1}$.*

Proof. For any $b_j, c_j \in \Omega_j$, there exist f and $g \in I$ such that $f = \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_i \mathfrak{a}_i$ and $g = \sum_{i=0}^{j} c_i \mathfrak{a}_i$. Hence $f + g = \sum_{i=0}^{j} (b_i + c_i)\mathfrak{a}_i$ is in I. So $b_j + c_j \in \Omega_j$. On the other hand, for any $c \in \mathbf{k}$, $cf = \sum_{i=0}^{j} cb_i \mathfrak{a}_i \in I$. This yields $cb_j \in \Omega_j$. Thus Ω_j is an ideal of $\mathbf{k}$.

Since I is a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, we have $P(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_i \mathfrak{a}_{i+1} \in I$. So $b_j \in \Omega_{j+1}$. Hence $\Omega_j \subseteq \Omega_{j+1}$, as required. □

For a given Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, Lemma 3.1 shows that the ideals $\Omega_j \subseteq \mathbf{k}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, form a non-decreasing sequence of ideals of $\mathbf{k}$. Thus the sequence is controlled by the locations and extents where the increases occur. This motivates us to introduce the following notions.

Let $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ be the integers $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Omega_{t-1} \subsetneq \Omega_t$ with the convention that $\Omega_{-1} = \{0\}$. We will use the notation $s_i, i \in [N_I]$ where $N = N_I$ is either in $\mathbb{N}$ or ∞ with the convention adopted at the beginning of the last section. The integers $s_i, i \in [N_I]$, are called the **ascending points** of I and the ideals $\Omega_{s_i}, i \in [N_I]$ are called the **ascending levels** of I. In view of Lemma 3.1, we have $s_1 = \mathrm{st}(I)$.

Thus for a given Rota-Baxter characteristic I, the set of pairs

$$A(I) := \left\{ (s_j, \Omega_{s_j}) \,\middle|\, j \in [N_I] \right\}, \tag{3}$$

called the **ascent set**, is uniquely determined by I. By the definition of Ω_{s_j}, we have $s_j < s_{j+1}$ and

$$\Omega_{s_j} = \Omega_{s_j+1} = \cdots = \Omega_{s_{j+1}-1} \subsetneq \Omega_{s_{j+1}} \tag{4}$$

for all $j \in [N_I]$, as illustrated by Figure 1.



Figure 1.

We next study how the information from $A(I)$ can be used to recover I. Denote

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j})\}_{j\in[N]} \,\middle|\, s_j \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega_{s_j} \leqslant \mathbf{k}, s_j < s_{j+1}, \Omega_{s_j} \subsetneq \Omega_{s_{j+1}}, j \in [N], 1 \leqslant N \leqslant \infty \right\}, \tag{5}$$

called the set of **ascending pairs**. So $\mathcal{A}$ consists of pairs of sequences of the same lengths with one sequence of strictly increasing nonnegative integers and a second sequence of strictly increasing ideals of $\mathbf{k}$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{k})$ denote the set of Rota-Baxter characteristics, namely the set of Rota-Baxter ideals of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. Then taking the ascent set of a Rota-Baxter characteristic defines

a map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}, \quad I \mapsto A(I), I \leqslant \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{6}$$

In the rest of the paper, we study the property of this map, including its surjectivity and fibers, that is, inverse images. In Theorem 3.6, we show that the restriction of Φ to the subset of homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics gives a bijection to $\mathcal{A}$, proving the surjectivity of Φ. In Proposition 3.8, we show that two Rota-Baxter characteristics are in the same fiber if and only if they have the same initial ideal.

Lemma 3.2. *Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ with $A(I) = \left\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j}) \mid j \in [N_I]\right\}$. For each $j \in [N_I]$, we let $\Theta_j := \left\{\omega_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j]\right\}$ be a set of generators of the ideal Ω_{s_j}. Here N_j is either a positive integer or ∞. For each $\omega_{s_j,\ell} \in \Theta_j$, let $f_{s_j,\ell}$ be an element of I whose initial term is $\omega_{s_j,\ell}\mathfrak{a}_{s_j}$. Then the set*

$$\bigcup_{j\in[N_I]} \left\{ f_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j]\right\}$$

is a generating set of the Rota-Baxter ideal I.

We call the above-mentioned set $\bigcup\limits_{j\in[N_I]} \left\{ f_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j]\right\}$ an **ascent generating set** of the Rota-Baxter ideal I.

Proof. Let I' be the Rota-Baxter ideal generated by the set $\bigcup\limits_{j\in[N_I]} \left\{ f_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j]\right\}$. Since $I' \subseteq I$ is trivial, it suffices to show that each element f of I belongs to I'.

So take an $f \in I$. Then f is in I' if $f = 0$. We next assume that $f \neq 0$. Then we have $\text{in}(f) = b\mathfrak{a}_{\deg f}$ for some $0 \neq b \in \mathbf{k}$. We proceed by induction on $\deg f$. Clearly, $\deg f \geqslant \text{st}(I) = s_1$.

If $\deg f = s_1$, then $b \in \Omega_{s_1}$. So there exist $c_{s_1,\ell} \in \mathbf{k}, \ell \in [N_1]$, all but finitely many of which being zero, such that $b = \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_1]} c_{s_1,\ell}\omega_{s_1,\ell}$. Thus the element

$$g = f - \sum_{\ell\in[N_1]} c_{s_1,\ell} f_{s_1,\ell}$$

is in I. But now the degree of g is less than $\deg f$. It follows from $\deg f = \text{st}(I)$ that g must be 0, which means that $f = \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_1]} c_{s_1,\ell} f_{s_1,\ell}$ is in I' and we are done. For a given $n \geqslant \text{st}(I)$, assume that all $f \in I$ with $\deg f \leqslant n$ are in I' and take $f \in I$ with $\deg f = n + 1$. Then there exists $r \in [N_I]$ such that $s_r \leqslant n + 1 < s_{r+1}$ with the convention that $s_{N_I+1} = \infty$ if N_I is finite. By Eq. (4), we have $\Omega_{n+1} = \Omega_{s_r}$. So $b = \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_r]} c_{s_r,\ell}\omega_{s_r,\ell}$ where $c_{s_r,\ell} \in \mathbf{k}, \ell \in [N_r]$, with all but a finite number of $c_{s_r,\ell}$ being zero. Put

$$h = f - \sum_{\ell\in[N_r]} c_{s_r,\ell} P^{n+1-s_r}\left(f_{s_r,\ell}\right).$$

Then $h \in I$ with $\deg h < \deg f$. So we can apply the induction hypothesis to obtain that h is in I' and hence f is in I', as required. □

3.2. **Classification of homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics.** We now apply ascent sets to classify homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics.

Definition 3.3. A Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is called a **homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal** if I is a Rota-Baxter ideal generated by a set of homogeneous elements. Then the Rota-Baxter characteristic I is called **homogeneous**.

We next show that, for a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, its homogeneity as a Rota-Baxter ideal is equivalent to its homogeneity as an ideal. For this purpose, we first give a general relation between generating a Rota-Baxter ideal and generating an ideal in a Rota-Baxter algebra.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (R, P) be a Rota-Baxter $\mathbf{k}$-algebra of weight λ, and S a subset of R. Then the Rota-Baxter ideal $(S)_{RB}$ generated by S is the ideal of R generated by the set*

$$S_{RB} := \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \{(\circ_{i=1}^{m} P_{x_i})(a) | a \in S, x_i \in R, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\}, \tag{7}$$

where $(\circ_{i=1}^{m} P_{x_i})(a) := P(x_m P(x_{m-1} P(\cdots P(x_1 a))))$ with the convention that $(\circ_{i=1}^{0} P_{x_i})(a) := a$.

If $R = \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, then it suffices to take x_i to be the homogeneous elements in Eq. (7).

Proof. Let I be the ideal of R generated by S_{RB}. Then an element of I is a sum of elements of the form $r(\circ_{i=1}^{m} P_{x_i})(a)$ with $r \in R$. Then we have

$$P(r(\circ_{i=1}^{m} P_{x_i})(a)) = (\circ_{i=1}^{m+1} P_{x_i})(a),$$

where $x_{m+1} = r$. So, by the additivity of P, we obtain $P(I) \subseteq I$, and hence I is the Rota-Baxter ideal of R generated by S_{RB}, that is, $I = (S_{RB})_{RB}$. Notice that $S \subseteq S_{RB} \subseteq (S)_{RB}$, so $(S)_{RB} = (S_{RB})_{RB}$ whence $I = (S)_{RB}$, as required. The second statement follows since any element in $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is a linear combination of homogeneous elements. □

Now we can give the following characterization of homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideals in $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $I \subseteq \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ be a Rota-Baxter ideal. Then I is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal if only if I is a homogeneous ideal.*

Proof. ($\Longleftarrow$) If a set of homogeneous elements generates I as an ideal, then it does so as a Rota-Baxter ideal.

($\Longrightarrow$) Suppose that I is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal. Then we can assume that there are a subset Λ of $\mathbb{N}$ and numbers $n_i \in \mathbb{P}$ ($i \in \Lambda$) or $n_i = \infty$ such that I is generated by the following set of homogeneous elements:

$$\mathcal{G} := \{b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \,|\, j \in [n_i], i \in \Lambda\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.4, I is the ideal generated by the set

$$\mathcal{G}' := \left\{(\circ_{k=1}^{m} P_{x_k})(b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i) \,|\, b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathcal{G}, x_k \in \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) \text{ homogeneous}, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m, m \geqslant 0\right\}$$

with the convention that $(\circ_{i=1}^{0} P_{x_i})(a) := a$. Hence I is contained in the homogeneous ideal generated by the supports of elements in $\mathcal{G}'$. Thus to complete the proof, we just need to prove that for each $u \in \mathcal{G}'$, we have $\text{supp}(u) \subset I$. We will prove this for u in the form $(\circ_{k=1}^{m} P_{x_k})(b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i)$, where $b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathcal{G}$, by induction on $m \geqslant 0$. When $m = 0$, we have $u = b_{ij}a_i \in \mathcal{G}$ which is assumed to be in I. Suppose that the statement is true for $m = k \geqslant 0$ and consider

$$u = (\circ_{k=1}^{m+1} P_{x_k})(b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i) = P_{x_{m+1}}((\circ_{k=1}^{m} P_{x_k})(b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i)).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the support of $(\circ_{k=1}^{m} P_{x_k})(b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i)$, that is, the set of homogenous components of it, is contained in I. Let $b\mathfrak{a}_p \in I, b \in \mathbf{k}$, be such a homogenous component and denote $x_{m+1} = c\mathfrak{a}_n, c \in \mathbf{k}$. Then by Eq. (2), we have

$$P_{x_{m+1}}(b\mathfrak{a}_p) = P((c\mathfrak{a}_n)(b\mathfrak{a}_p)) = P\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\min(n,p)} \binom{n+p-i}{p}\binom{p}{i}\lambda^i bc\mathfrak{a}_{n+p-i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(n,p)} \binom{n+p-i}{p}\binom{p}{i}\lambda^i cP^{n-i+1}(b\mathfrak{a}_p).$$

Since $P^{n-i+1}(b\mathfrak{a}_p)$ is in I, the homogeneous components of $P_{x_{m+1}}(b\mathfrak{a}_p)$ are in I. Hence the homogeneous components of u are in I. This completes the induction. □

Theorem 3.6. *(a) Let I be a homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristic, that is, a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, with $A(I) = \left\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j}) \,\middle|\, j \in [N_I]\right\}$. Then*

$$I = \bigoplus_{i=s_1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \mathfrak{a}_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{N_I} \left(\bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} \Omega_{s_j} \mathfrak{a}_i \right) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus\limits_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} \Omega_{s_j} \mathfrak{a}_i, & N_I = \infty, \\ \left(\bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{N_I-1} \bigoplus\limits_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} \Omega_{s_j} \mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus\limits_{i=s_{N_I}}^{\infty} \Omega_{s_{N_I}} \mathfrak{a}_i \right), & N_I < \infty, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

as the direct sum of the $\mathbf{k}$-modules $\Omega_{s_j}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

(b) The quotient $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$ is the direct sum of the $\mathbf{k}$-modules $(\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_j})\mathfrak{a}_i$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{N_I} \left(\bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} (\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_j})\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\bigoplus\limits_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus\limits_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} (\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_j})\mathfrak{a}_i \right), & N_I = \infty, \\ \left(\bigoplus\limits_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{N_I-1} \bigoplus\limits_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} (\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_j})\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus\limits_{i=s_{N_I}}^{\infty} (\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_{N_I}})\mathfrak{a}_i \right), & N_I < \infty, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with the convention that $s_0 = 0$, $\Omega_{s_0} = 0$ and $s_{N_I+1} = \infty$ if N_I is finite.

(c) For any ascent pair $\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j})\}_{j\in[N]}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ defined in Eq. (5), consisting of a positive integer N or $N = \infty$, strictly increasing nonnegative integers s_i and strictly increasing ideals Ω_{s_j}, $j \in [N]$, there is a unique homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ such that $A(I) = \{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j})\}_{j\in[N]}$. In other words, the restriction of the map $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}$ in Eq. (6) to the set of homogeneous Rota-Baxter characteristics is a bijection.

Proof. (*a*) By Proposition 3.5, the $\mathbf{k}$-module I is the direct sum of the $\mathbf{k}$-modules $\Omega_i\mathfrak{a}_i$.

(*b*) Since I is a graded submodule of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, Item (*b*) is proved.

(*c*) For a given ascending pair $\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j})\}_{j\in[N]} \in \mathcal{A}$, define $I \subseteq \amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ as in Eq. (8) with N_I replaced by N. We next show that I is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal.

It follows from $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ and $\Omega_{s_1} \subsetneq \Omega_{s_2} \subsetneq \cdots$ that $P(I) \subseteq I$. I is a $\mathbf{k}$-submodule since Ω_{s_j} is an ideal of $\mathbf{k}$ for each $j \in [N]$. Further by its definition, I is homogeneous as a $\mathbf{k}$-module. We next prove that $I(\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})) \subseteq I$. Since each element of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ can be written as the summand of finitely many homogeneous components, it suffices to show that $(b\mathfrak{a}_n)(c\mathfrak{a}_p) \in I$, where $b\mathfrak{a}_n \in I$ and $c\mathfrak{a}_p \in \amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. By Eq. (2), we have

$$(b\mathfrak{a}_n)\left(c\mathfrak{a}_p\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(n,p)} \binom{n+p-i}{p} \binom{p}{i} bc\lambda^i \mathfrak{a}_{n+p-i}.$$

Since $b\mathfrak{a}_n \in I$, we have $b \in \Omega_{s_r}$ where $r \in [N]$ is such that $s_r \leqslant n < s_{r+1}$. For any given i with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \min(n, p)$, let t be the integer in $[N]$ such that $s_t \leqslant n + p - i < s_{t+1}$. Note that we always have $n + p - i \geqslant n \geqslant s_r$, so $s_r \leqslant s_t$. Thus $\Omega_{s_r} \subseteq \Omega_{s_t}$ whence $b \in \Omega_{s_t}$. Then for each i with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \min(n, p)$, the element $\binom{n+p-i}{p}\binom{p}{i} bc\lambda^i \mathfrak{a}_{n+p-i}$ is in $\Omega_{s_t}\mathfrak{a}_{n+p-i}$. This shows that $(b\mathfrak{a}_n)\left(c\mathfrak{a}_p\right) \in I$. Thus I is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$.

Thus we obtain a map Ψ from $\mathcal{A}$ to the set of homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideals of $\amalg_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. It is direct to check that the left and right compositions of Ψ with the restriction of Φ to homogeneous

Rota-Baxter ideals of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ are the identities. Thus the restriction of Φ to the set of homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideals is bijective. □

3.3. **Rota-Baxter characteristics and their quotients.** Now we relate a Rota-Baxter characteristic to the homogeneous case and describe the structure of the quotient of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ modulo a Rota-Baxter characteristic. We will see that such a quotient has the underlying set of the form defined by Eq. (9). However, the same underlying set may be shared by different Rota-Baxter characteristics, as can be seen from the following theorem. As mentioned at the beginning of this section, this theorem is a property of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ coming as an analog to polynomial algebras.

We first relate a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ to a suitable homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal.

Definition 3.7. The **initial Rota-Baxter ideal** of a Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the Rota-Baxter ideal $\text{in}(I)$ generated by $\{\text{in}(f) | f \in I\}$.

Thus $\text{in}(I)$ is a homogeneous ideal.

Proposition 3.8. *Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. Then for its ascent set we have $A(I) = A(\text{in}(I))$. In other words, two Rota-Baxter characteristics are in the same fiber under the map Φ in Eq. (6) if and only if they have the same initial ideal.*

Proof. Assume that $A(I) := \left\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j}) \,\middle|\, j \in [N_I]\right\}$. We only need to show that $\Omega_i(I) = \Omega_i(\text{in}(I))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $i < \text{st}(I)$, then there is no element $f \in I$ with degree lower than i, so $\Omega_i(I) = \Omega_i(\text{in}(I)) = \emptyset$. Next we assume that $i \geqslant \text{st}(I)$. Take a nonzero element $b \in \mathbf{k}$. Then $b \in \Omega_i(I)$ if and only if there exists an element f in I such that $\text{in}(f) = b\mathfrak{a}_i$, which is equivalent to $b \in \Omega_i(\text{in}(I))$. Thus $A(I) = A(\text{in}(I))$, as required. □

Now we can determine the underlying set of the quotient of a Rota-Baxter characteristic.

Theorem 3.9. *Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$. Then $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$ has the same underlying set as $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/\text{in}(I)$ in Eq. (9). More precisely, for each j with $j \in \{0\} \cup [N_I]$, fix a complete set $T_j \subseteq \mathbf{k}$, such that $0 \in T_j$, of representatives of $\mathbf{k}$ modulo Ω_{s_j}, with the convention that $s_0 = 0$, $\Omega_{s_0} = 0$ and $s_{N_I+1} = \infty$ if N_I is finite. Then $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$ has a complete set of representatives given by the following subset of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_m$:*

$$\mathcal{T} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{N_I} \left(\bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} T_j \mathfrak{a}_i \right) = \begin{cases} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} T_j \mathfrak{a}_i \right), & N_I = \infty, \\ \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{N_I-1} \bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1} T_j \mathfrak{a}_i \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=s_{N_I}}^{\infty} T_{N_I} \mathfrak{a}_i \right), & N_I < \infty. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ with its ascent set $A(I) = \left\{(s_j, \Omega_{s_j}) \,|\, j \in [N_I]\right\}$. By Proposition 3.8, we have $A(I) = A(\text{in}(I))$. Thus it suffices to show that the underlying set of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$ is $\mathcal{T}$ in light of Theorem 3.6(*b*).

By convention, we take $s_0 = 0$ and $\Omega_{s_0} = 0$. Then $T_0 = \mathbf{k}$ and

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s_1-1} T_0 \mathfrak{a}_i = \bigoplus_{i=s_0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i.$$

Note that this term does not exist if $s_1 = 0$.

Let $f \in \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ be a nonzero element with $\mathrm{in}(f) = b_n\mathfrak{a}_n$ for some $b_n \in \mathbf{k}$. So $\deg f = n \geqslant 0$. We first show that there is a unique f' in $\mathcal{T}$ such that $f - f'$ is in I.

Let $\bigcup\limits_{j\in[N_I]} \left\{ f_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j] \right\}$ be an ascent generating set of I, we may assume that for each $j \in [N_I]$ and $\ell \in [N_j]$ we have $\mathrm{in}(f_{s_j,\ell}) = \omega_{s_j,\ell}\mathfrak{a}_{s_j}$ and hence Ω_{s_j} is generated by the set $\Theta_j := \left\{ \omega_{s_j,\ell} \,\middle|\, \ell \in [N_j] \right\}$ by Lemma 3.2.

If $\deg f < s_1$, then $f \in \bigoplus\limits_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i$, which equals to $\bigoplus\limits_{i=s_0}^{s_1-1} T_0\mathfrak{a}_i$ since $s_0 = 0$, $\Omega_{s_0} = 0$. Thus, it is enough to take $f' = f$.

Next we assume that $\deg f \geqslant s_1$. We prove that there exists an element $f' \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\deg f' \leqslant \deg f$ such that $f - f' \in I$ by induction on $\deg f$. If $\deg f = s_1$, then $\mathrm{in}(f) = b_{s_1}\mathfrak{a}_{s_1}$ for some $b_{s_1} \in \mathbf{k}$. Since $T_1 \subseteq \mathbf{k}$ is a complete set of representatives of $\mathbf{k}$ modulo Ω_{s_1}, there exists a unique $b'_{s_1} \in T_1$ such that $b_{s_1} - b'_{s_1} \in \Omega_{s_1}$. Note that Ω_{s_1} is generated by Θ_1, so there exist $c_{s_1,\ell}$ in $\mathbf{k}$, $\ell \in [N_1]$, with all but a finite number of $c_{s_1,\ell}$ being zero, such that $b_{s_1} - b'_{s_1} = \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_1]} c_{s_1,\ell}\omega_{s_1,\ell}$. If we take $f' = f - \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_1]} c_{s_1,\ell} f_{s_1,\ell}$, then $f - f' \in I$. It is clear that $f' \in \mathcal{T}$ if $f' = 0$. If $f' \neq 0$, then $f' = b'_{s_1}\mathfrak{a}_{s_1} + g'$ for some $g' \in \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ with $\deg g' < \deg f = s_1$. Thus $g' \in \bigoplus\limits_{i=0}^{s_1-1} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i = \bigoplus\limits_{i=s_0}^{s_1-1} T_0\mathfrak{a}_i$ so that f' is also in $\mathcal{T}$.

Now we assume that the claim has been proved for f with $\deg f \leqslant n-1$ for a given $n \geqslant 1$ and show that the claim is true when $\deg f = n$. Assume that $\mathrm{in}(f) = b_n\mathfrak{a}_n$. Let $r \in \{0\} \cup [N_I]$ be such that $s_r \leqslant n < s_{r+1}$. So $\Omega_n = \Omega_{s_r}$ by Eq. (4), and hence $b_n - b'_n \in \Omega_{s_r}$ for some $b'_n \in T_r$. Then there exist $c_{n,\ell} \in \mathbf{k}$, $\ell \in [N_r]$, all but finitely many of which being zero, such that $b_n - b'_n = \sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_r]} c_{n,\ell}\omega_{s_r,\ell}$. Taking $g = f - P^{n-s_r}(\sum\limits_{\ell\in[N_r]} c_{n,\ell} f_{s_r,\ell})$, then we have $g = b'_n\mathfrak{a}_n + g'$ for some $g' \in \text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ with $\deg g' < \deg f$. If $g' = 0$, then put $f' = b'_n\mathfrak{a}_n$ so that $f' \in \mathcal{T}$ and we have $f - f' \in I$. If $g' \neq 0$, then $\deg g' < \deg f = n$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $g'' \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\deg g'' \leqslant \deg g'$ such that $g' - g'' \in I$. If we take $f' = b'_n\mathfrak{a}_n + g''$, then $f - f' \in I$. Since $\deg g'' \leqslant \deg g'$, we have $\deg g'' < \deg f = n$, so that f' is an element of $\mathcal{T}$.

Suppose that there is another element h' in $\mathcal{T}$ such that $h' \neq f'$ and $f - h' \in I$. Then $f' - h' \in I$. Let $f' = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{k} c_i\mathfrak{a}_i$, $h' = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} b_i\mathfrak{a}_i$, where $b_i, c_i \in T_r$ if $s_r \leqslant i < s_{r+1}$ for some $r \in \{0\} \cup [N_I]$. By symmetry, we may assume that $k \leqslant m$ and put $c_i = 0$ for all i with $k < i \leqslant m$. Thus $h' - f' = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{m}(b_i - c_i)\mathfrak{a}_i$. Since $h' \neq f'$, there is a nonnegative integer p with $0 \leqslant p \leqslant m$ such that $b_p - c_p \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{in}(h' - f') = (b_p - c_p)\mathfrak{a}_p$. Let $t \in \{0\} \cup [N_I]$ be such that $s_t \leqslant p < s_{t+1}$. Then $b_p \in T_t$, $c_p \in T_t$ and $b_p - c_p \in \Omega_{s_t}$. But T_t is a complete set of representatives of $\mathbf{k}$ modulo Ω_{s_t}, so $b_p = c_p$, a contradiction.

It remains to show that every element of $\mathcal{T}$ represents an element of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$. For any f' in $\mathcal{T}$ we take $f = f'$ in $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$, then $f - f' \in I$ whence $f' \in \mathcal{T}$ is corresponding to the element $f + I$ in $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$. Thus, the underlying set of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$ is $\mathcal{T}$ and the statement follows at once. □

We remark that the underlying $\mathbf{k}$-module $\mathcal{T}$ in Eq. (10) is usually not the direct sum of these $\mathbf{k}$-modules $(\mathbf{k}/\Omega_{s_j})\mathfrak{a}_i$ for a nonhomogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal I, even though we write it in the form of a direct sum. The scalar multiplication by $\mathbf{k}$ should be defined according to the $\mathbf{k}$-module $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/I$. We illustrate this by the following example.

Example 3.10. *Let* $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ *be the free Rota-Baxter* $\mathbb{Z}$*-algebra on* $\mathbb{Z}$ *of weight* 1*. Let* I *be the Rota-Baxter ideals of* $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ *generated by the element* $f = 2(\mathfrak{a}_1 + \mathfrak{a}_0)$*. Then* $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ *and* $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{in}(I)$ *are in bijection as sets, but are not isomorphic as* $\mathbb{Z}$*-modules (that is, abelian groups).*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{in}(f) = 2\mathfrak{a}_1$, we have $2a_{m+1} = P^m(2\mathfrak{a}_1) \in \mathrm{in}(I)$ for $m \geqslant 0$ whence $\mathrm{in}(I) \supseteq \bigoplus_{i\geqslant 1} 2\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

We note from Lemma 3.4 that all elements of I can be obtained from iterated operations on f by the Rota-Baxter operator P, the scalar product, the multiplication and the addition of the algebra $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$. On one hand, we have $P^m(f) = 2(\mathfrak{a}_{m+1} + \mathfrak{a}_m)$. On the other hand, it follows from Eq. (2) that

$$\mathfrak{a}_m f = 2(m+1)(\mathfrak{a}_{m+1} + \mathfrak{a}_m).$$

Thus, any element of I must be of the form

$$2c_1(\mathfrak{a}_1 + \mathfrak{a}_0) + 2c_2(\mathfrak{a}_2 + \mathfrak{a}_1) + \cdots + 2c_{n+1}(\mathfrak{a}_{n+1} + \mathfrak{a}_n), \tag{11}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$. Consequently, $\mathrm{in}(I) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i\geqslant 1} 2\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i$ and hence $\mathrm{in}(I) = \bigoplus_{i\geqslant 1} 2\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

Clearly, $A(\mathrm{in}(I)) = \{(1, 2\mathbb{Z})\}$, whence both the underlying sets of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{in}(I)$ and $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ are

$$\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_0 \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{i\geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}_2\mathfrak{a}_i \right)$$

by Theorems 3.6 and 3.9.

For the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{in}(I)$, it follows from $2\mathfrak{a}_1 \in \mathrm{in}(I)$ that $\overline{2\mathfrak{a}_1} = 0$. However, for the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$, we have $2\mathfrak{a}_1 + I = -2\mathfrak{a}_0 + I$ so that $\overline{2\mathfrak{a}_1} = \overline{-2\mathfrak{a}_0}$, which is clearly not zero since $-2\mathfrak{a}_0$ is not in I by Eq. (11). Therefore, the Rota-Baxter ideals I and $\mathrm{in}(I)$ share the same quotient sets, but not $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. From the fact that $\overline{2\mathfrak{a}_1} \neq 0$ in $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ we also see that $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is not the direct sum of the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules $\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathfrak{a}_i$, $i \geqslant 1$. □

The following example shows that a similar phenomenon can already be found in the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{k}[x]$.

Example 3.11. Let I be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ generated by the polynomial $2x + 2$. Then the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\leqslant}(I)$ is generated by $2x$. Thus the ideal I and its initial ideal $\mathrm{in}(I)$ have the same quotient set

$$\mathbb{Z} \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{n\geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}_2 x^n \right).$$

However, the two ideals do not have isomorphic quotient groups. For instance, $2x + I = -2 + I$ is not zero since -2 is not in I, but $2x + \mathrm{in}_{\leqslant}(I) = 0$ since $2x \in \mathrm{in}_{\leqslant}(I)$. Furthermore, $2x + I \neq 0$ shows that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I$ is not the direct sum of the abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 x^n$, $n \geqslant 1$.

4. Characteristics of Rota-Baxter rings

We next focus on the case when $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Z}$ and classify the Rota-Baxter ideals and prime Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the initial object in the category of unitary Rota-Baxter rings (that is, Rota-Baxter $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras). So we are talking about characteristics of Rota-Baxter rings.

Theorem 4.1. *Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then there exist a positive integer m and m pairs $(s_1,\omega_1),\cdots,(s_m,\omega_m)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{P}$ with $s_j<s_{j+1}$, $\omega_{j+1}\neq\omega_j$ and $\omega_{j+1}|\omega_j$, $1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1$, such that $A(I)=\{(s_1,\omega_1\mathbb{Z}),\cdots,(s_m,\omega_m\mathbb{Z})\}$. Thus if I is homogeneous then*

$$I=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m}\left(\bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1}\omega_{s_j}\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i\right)$$

and the quotient $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m}\left(\bigoplus_{i=s_j}^{s_{j+1}-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\omega_j}\mathfrak{a}_i\right), \tag{12}$$

with the convention that $s_0=0$, $\omega_{s_0}=0$ and $s_{m+1}=\infty$. If I is nonhomogeneous then $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ has the same underlying set as $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})/\text{in}(I)$ in Eq. (12).

Conversely, for any positive integer m and m pairs $(s_1,\omega_1),\cdots,(s_m,\omega_m)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{P}$ with $s_j<s_{j+1}$, $\omega_{j+1}\neq\omega_j$ and $\omega_{j+1}|\omega_j$, $1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1$, there is a Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that the underlying set of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is the construction defined by Eq. (12).

Proof. Let I be a Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the ascending chain condition on ideals, I has only finite ascend steps, say $s_1,\cdots,s_m$, where m is a positive integer. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is a PID, we have $\Omega_{s_j}=\omega_j\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer ω_j, $j=1,\cdots,m$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\omega_{j+1}\neq\omega_j$ and $\omega_{j+1}|\omega_j$, $1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1$. It follows from the definition of $A(I)$ that $A(I)=\{(s_1,\omega_1\mathbb{Z}),\cdots,(s_m,\omega_m\mathbb{Z})\}$. Consequently, in view of Theorems 3.6 and 3.9, the first part of the theorem follows.

Conversely, take $\Omega_{s_j}=\omega_j\mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows from $s_j<s_{j+1}$, $\omega_{j+1}\neq\omega_j$ and $\omega_{j+1}|\omega_j$, $1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1$ that $\Omega_{s_1}\subsetneq\Omega_{s_2}\subsetneq\cdots\subsetneq\Omega_{s_m}$. So by Theorem 3.9, there is a Rota-Baxter ideal I of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that the underlying set of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is the construction defined by Eq. (12). □

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an integral domain with characteristic 0. The next lemma tells us that if $\Omega_t=\mathbf{k}\omega_t$ is a principal ideal for $t=\text{st}(I)$, then the element $f\in I$ with $\text{in}(f)=\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t$ is completely determined by ω_t and the number of nonzero terms in f.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an integral domain with characteristic 0 and $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ the free Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ, and let $I\subseteq\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ be a nonzero Rota-Baxter ideal. Suppose that $\text{st}(I)=t$ and $\Omega_t=\mathbf{k}\omega_t$.*

(a) If $f=\sum_{i=r}^{t}c_i\mathfrak{a}_i\in I$ with $c_t=\omega_t$ and $c_r\neq0$, then

$$c_i=\binom{t-r}{t-i}\lambda^{t-i}c_t,\qquad r\leqslant i\leqslant t-1.$$

(b) If $\lambda=0$, then $I\subseteq\bigoplus_{i\geqslant t}\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

(c) If $\lambda=0$ and $\mathbf{k}$ is a field, then $I=\bigoplus_{i\geqslant t}\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

Proof. (*a*) Since I is a Rota-Baxter ideal and $f=\sum_{i=r}^{t}c_i\mathfrak{a}_i$ is in I, we have $g_1:=(t+1)P(f)-\mathfrak{a}_1f\in I$. Now from Eq. (2) it follows that

$$\mathfrak{a}_1f=(t+1)c_t\mathfrak{a}_{t+1}+\sum_{i=r+1}^{t}i(c_{i-1}+\lambda c_i)\mathfrak{a}_i+\lambda rc_r\mathfrak{a}_r,$$

which together with

$$(t+1)P(f) = (t+1)\sum_{i=r}^{t} c_i\mathfrak{a}_{i+1} = (t+1)\sum_{i=r+1}^{t+1} c_{i-1}\mathfrak{a}_i$$

implies that

$$g_1 = \sum_{i=r+1}^{t} ((t+1-i)c_{i-1} - \lambda i c_i)\,\mathfrak{a}_i - \lambda r c_r \mathfrak{a}_r.$$

Thus, the coefficient of $\mathfrak{a}_t$ is in Ω_t, that is, $c_{t-1} - \lambda t c_t$ is in $\mathbf{k}c_t$ so that c_{t-1} is in $\mathbf{k}c_t$. Hence there exists $b \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $c_{t-1} = bc_t$. Then

$$g_2 := g_1 - (b-\lambda t)f = \sum_{i=r+1}^{t} ((t+1-i)c_{i-1} + (\lambda(t-i)-b)c_i)\,\mathfrak{a}_i + (\lambda(t-r)-b)c_r\mathfrak{a}_r$$

is in I. But the coefficient of $\mathfrak{a}_t$ in g_2 is 0, so the fact that $\mathrm{st}(I) = t$ yields that $g_2 = 0$, which is equivalent to $(\lambda(t-r)-b)c_r = 0$ and

$$(t+1-i)c_{i-1} + (\lambda(t-i)-b)c_i = 0, \qquad r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant t. \tag{13}$$

By the hypotheses that $\mathbf{k}$ is an integral domain and $c_r \neq 0$, it follows from $(\lambda(t-r)-b)c_r = 0$ that $b = \lambda(t-r)$. Consequently, Eq. (13) becomes

$$(t+1-i)c_{i-1} = \lambda(i-r)c_i, \qquad r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant t.$$

So for each $r \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$, we have

$$\prod_{j=i+1}^{t}\big((t+1-j)c_{j-1}\big) = \prod_{j=i+1}^{t}\big(\lambda(j-r)c_j\big),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(t-i)!\prod_{j=i+1}^{t} c_{j-1} = \lambda^{t-i}\frac{(t-r)!}{(i-r)!}\prod_{j=i+1}^{t} c_j.$$

Since $\mathbf{k}$ is an integral domain with characteristic 0, we obtain

$$c_i = \lambda^{t-i}\frac{(t-r)!}{(t-i)!(i-r)!}c_t = \binom{t-r}{t-i}\lambda^{t-i}c_t, \qquad r \leqslant i \leqslant t-1.$$

This completes the proof of part (*a*) of this lemma.

(*b*) Take arbitrary $f \in I$. Since $\mathrm{st}(I) = t$, we may assume that

$$f = b_m\mathfrak{a}_m + b_{m-1}\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} + \cdots + b_t\mathfrak{a}_t + f_0 \in I,$$

where $m \geqslant t$ and $\deg f_0 \leqslant t-1$. Note that $\Omega_t = \mathbf{k}\omega_t$. So there exists an element g in I with $\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t$ as the initial term. Since $\lambda = 0$, by part (*a*), we must have that $g = \omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t$ is in I. So $\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_m = P^{m-t}(\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t)$ is in I. Then $h := \omega_t f - b_m\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_m$ is in I, that is,

$$h = b_{m-1}\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} + \cdots + b_t\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t + \omega_t f_0 \tag{14}$$

is in I. Since $P(I) \subseteq I$, we have $\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_\ell = P^{\ell-t}(\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t) \in I$ for all $t \leqslant \ell \leqslant m-1$, so that $b_{m-1}\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} + \cdots + b_t\omega_t\mathfrak{a}_t \in I$. Then Eq. (14) implies that $\omega_t f_0 \in I$. Note that $\deg f_0 \leqslant t-1$, which together with $\mathrm{st}(I) = t$ yields $\omega_t f_0 = 0$. But $\mathbf{k}$ is an integral domain, so $f_0 = 0$. Therefore, $I \subseteq \bigoplus_{i\geqslant t}\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i$ and we are done.

(*c*) Since $\lambda = 0$, we know that $\omega_t \mathfrak{a}_t$ is in I. But $\mathbf{k}$ is a field, so $\Omega_t = \mathbf{k}$, whence $\bigoplus_{i \geqslant t} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq I$, which together with part (*b*) gives $I = \bigoplus_{i \geqslant t} \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{a}_i$. □

We finally give a classification for the prime Rota-Baxter ideals of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ when $\lambda = 0$. Recall that a Rota-Baxter ideal I of a Rota-Baxter algebra (R, P) is said to be **prime** if it is a prime ideal with $P(I) \subseteq I$. If $\lambda = 0$, then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from Eq. (2) that

$$\mathfrak{a}_m \mathfrak{a}_n = \binom{m+n}{m} \mathfrak{a}_{m+n}. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the free Rota-Baxter $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra on $\mathbb{Z}$ of weight 0 and let I be a proper nonzero Rota-Baxter ideal of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then I is prime if and only if*

$$I = p\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_0 \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i \right),$$

where p is either 0 or a prime number of $\mathbb{Z}$.

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.3, the quotient of a prime characteristic of a Rota-Baxter ring is either $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for a prime number p, as in the case of prime characteristic of a ring.

Proof. Let I be a prime Rota-Baxter ideal. Denote $t = \text{st}(I)$ and $\Omega_t = \omega\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer ω. Then, by Proposition 4.2(*a*), the element in I of the form

$$\omega\mathfrak{a}_t + \text{ lower degree terms}$$

must be $\omega\mathfrak{a}_t$, that is, $\omega\mathfrak{a}_t \in I$.

If $t \geqslant 1$, then $\omega\mathfrak{a}_{t-1} \notin I$ since $\text{st}(I) = t$. From Eq. (15) we know that $\mathfrak{a}_1(\omega\mathfrak{a}_{t-1}) = t\omega\mathfrak{a}_t \in I$. Since I is prime, we have $\mathfrak{a}_1 \in I$, which means that $t = \text{st}(I) \leqslant 1$ and hence $t = 1$. Now $\mathfrak{a}_1 \in I$ gives $1 \in \Omega_1$ so that $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\Omega_j = \mathbb{Z}$ for all positive integer j. Therefore,

$$I = \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i.$$

If $t = 0$, then $\omega\mathfrak{a}_0 \in I$. Since I is a prime ideal and $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is the identity of $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})$, ω must be a prime number. Let $\omega = p$. Then $p \geqslant 2$ and $p\mathfrak{a}_0 \in I$. So $\mathfrak{a}_1^p = p!\mathfrak{a}_p = (p-1)!P^p(p\mathfrak{a}_0) \in I$ which means that $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is in the prime ideal I. Hence I is generated by $p\mathfrak{a}_0$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1$. Therefore,

$$I = p\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_0 \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{a}_i \right).$$

Conversely, I is a homogeneous Rota-Baxter ideal generated by either $\{\mathfrak{a}_1\}$ or $\{p\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1\}$, where p is a prime number. By Theorem 4.1, if I is generated by $\{\mathfrak{a}_1\}$, then $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. If I is generated by $\{p\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1\}$, then $\text{Ш}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z})/I$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In either case, I is a prime ideal. □

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by NSFC grant 11426183, 11501467, Chongqing Research Program of Application Foundation and Advanced Technology (No. cstc2014jcyjA00028). H. Yu would like to thank the NYU Polytechnic School of Engineering for hospitality and support.

References

[1] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras. *J. Algebra* 244 (2001), 492-532. 1

[2] G. E. Andrews, L. Guo, W. Keigher and K. Ono, Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 4639-4656. 3

[3] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to classical Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 40 (2007), 11073-11082. 1

[4] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Spliting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 3 (2013), 485-524. 1

[5] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 731-742. 1

[6] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 199 (1998), 203-242. 1

[7] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.* 9 (1972), 253-265. 3

[8] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms, Springer, 1992. 4

[9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 212 (2008), 320-339. 2, 3

[10] N. S. Gu and L. Guo, Generating functions from the viewpoint of Rota-Baxter algebras. *Discrete Math.* 338 (2015), 536554. 1

[11] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press (US) and Higher Education Press (China), 2012. 1, 2, 3

[12] L. Guo, Baxter algebras, Stirling numbers and partitions, *J. Alg. Appl.* 4 (2005), 153-164. 3

[13] L. Guo, WHAT IS a Rota-Baxter algebra? *Notice Amer. Math. Soc.* 56 (2009), 1436-1437. 1

[14] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. Math.* 150 (2000), 117-149. 2, 3

[15] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values, *J. Algebra.* 319 (2008), 3770-3809. 1

[16] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I & II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1969), 325-329, 330-334. 1, 3

[17] G.-C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P.S. Kung, Editor,Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995. 1

[18] G.-C. Rota, Ten mathematics problems I will never solve, Invited address at the joint meeting of the American Mathematical Society and the Mexican Mathematical Society, Oaxaca, Mexico, December 6, 1997, *Mitt. Dtsch. Math.-Ver., Heft* 2 (1998), 45-52. 1

[19] M. Semonov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical R-matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1983), 259-272. 1

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA
E-mail address: liguo@rutgers.edu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing, China
E-mail address: yuhouyi@swu.edu.cn